Civil Society Internet Governance Caucus (IGC)
T: +679 9982851
E: coordinators@igcaucus.org
W:http://igcaucus.org/

His Excellency WU Hongbo
Under-Secretary-General Economic and Social Affairs
Secretary-General for the International Conference on Small Island Developing States
United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA)

Re: IGC Slate of Nominees for Multistakeholder Advisory Group (MAG)

Greetings from the Civil Society Internet Governance Caucus (IGC)!

Reference is made to the Notice sent to the MAG Mailing List on 7th November, 2014 for the submission of MAG Nominees for Renewal on 1 December 2013 which was subsequently communicated to diverse stakeholder groups. This letter serves as formal communication of our slate of Nominees following selection by the IGC Nominating Committee (NomCom).

IGC Nominees
We are pleased to advise that our ten nominees fall in two categories:

Recommended New MAG Members
1. Nnenna Nwakanma

2. Bertrand de la Chappelle
3. Stuart Hamilton
4. Sonigitu Ekpe
5. Matthew Shears*
6. Mawaki Chango

Current MAG Members to continue Post Rotation
7. Anriette Esterhuysen**

8. William Drake**

9. Izumi Aizu**

10. Fatima Cambronero**

* MAG profile template not attached. May have been sent directly to the IGF Secretariat.

**MAG profile templates would be in your records from the previous year

Brief About Selection Process

The process started with the selection of a NomCom. After a call for 25 volunteers[1] the random selection process using RFC 3797[2] was utilised. For details of the NomCom selection process, see: http://igcaucus.org/nomcom-process. Five NomCom members and three reserves were randomly selected.

The NomCom for MAG Nominee Selection

1. Deirdre Williams
2. Kerry Brown
3. Shaila Mistry
4. David Cake
5. Jefsey Morfin
6. Ian Peter

(Reserves: Jeremy Hunsinger, Ginger Paque, Chun Eung Hwi)

There were 5 Voting members that were selected through RFC 3797.The coordinators selected Ian Peter as Non Voting Chair for the NomCom.

A separate and independent mailing list was created for the NomCom and there was no interference in the selection process from the coordinators or any of the IGC members and subscribers. Kindly find annexed the Report of the NomCom Non-Voting Chair to the IGC on 27th November, 2013. The NomCom Chair for the IGC MAG Nominee selection can be contacted via Mr Ian Peter and email address: ian.peter@ianpeter.com

Thank you for providing the opportunity to furnish names for the MAG.

Yours faithfully,

Salanieta Tamanikaiwaimaro
co-coordinator of the Civil Society Internet Governance Caucus (IGC)

---

1 Adam Peake, Ian Peter, Kossi Amessinou,Angela Daly, Jeremy Hunsinger, Kerry Brown, Chaitanya Dhareshwar, Erick Iriarte Ahon, Ginger Paque, Jose F Callo Romero, Suresh Ramasubramanium, Badouin Schombe, Robin Gross, Tapani Tarvainen, David Cake, Jeremy Malcolm, Chun Eung Hwi, Antonio Medina Gomez, Shaila Rao Mistry, Deirdre Williams, Devon Blake, José Félix Arias Ynche, Michael Gurstein, JFC Morfin, Mwendwa Kivuva, Dave Kissoondoyal

2 "Publicly Verifiable Random Selection" from RFC 3797

Annexure 1

About the Civil Society Internet Governance Caucus

The Internet Governance Caucus (IGC) was originally created by individual and organizational civil society actors who came together in the context of the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS) to promote global public interest objectives in Internet governance policy making. The IGC is the widest, oldest Civil Society network that has followed the WSIS and Internet Governance issues.

The mission of the Internet Governance Caucus (IGC) is to provide a forum for discussion, advocacy, action, and for representation of civil society contributions in Internet governance processes. The caucus intends to provide an open and effective forum for civil society to share opinion, policy options and expertise on Internet governance issues, and to provide a mechanism for coordination of advocacy to enhance the utilization and influence of Civil Society (CS) and the IGC in relevant policy processes.

Annexure 2

NomCom Non Voting Chair's Report to the IGC on 27th November, 2013

I am pleased to report the following results from the Nomcom formed by Internet Governance Caucus to consider names for the IGF Multistakeholder Advisory Group (MAG).

RECOMMENDED NEW MEMBERS

 Nnenna Nwakanma

Bertrand de la Chappelle

Stuart Hamilton
Sonigitu Ekpe
Matthew Shears
Mawaki Chango

The above recommended candidates are asked to provide information in support of their nominations by filling in the form athttp://www.intgovforum.org/cms/2012/MAG%202014%20template%20.rtf. Copies should be sent urgently to the IGC co ordinators and given the tight timeframe you may also wish to send copies direct to the IGF Secretariat

CURRENT MEMBERS ENDORSED TO CONTINUE AFTER ROTATION

Anriette Esterhuysen

William Drake

Izumi Aizu

Fatima Cambronero

NOMCOM MEMBERS

The members of the Nomcom, elected by the usual IGC random selection process from a pool of 25 volunteers, were

Deirdre Williams

Kerry Brown

Shaila Mistry

David Cake

Jefsey Morfin

Ian Peter was non-voting Chair.

PROCESS

Given the short time frame available, IGC Co-ordinators called for nominations on line prior to the Nomcom being formed. In order to encourage more nominations, the Nomcom announced it would continue to receive nominations until midnight UTC Thursday November 21.

The following nominations were received within the advertised period (one nomination was less than an hour late and was accepted by the Nomcom)

Nnenna Nwakanma *
Bertrand de la Chappelle*
Stuart Hamilton*
Sonigitu Ekpe*
Matthew Shears*
Mawaki Chango*
Mishi Choudhary

Asif Kabani

Rudi Vansnick

Imran Ahmed Shah

Fouad Bajwa

The Nomcom also emailed all existing civil society members of the MAG, asking whether they would like their names to be put forward for endorsement by the IGC Nomcom. Responses were received from (some before our emails)

Anriette Esterhuysen *

William Drake *

Izumi Aizu *

Fatima Cambronero *

Lillian Nalwoga

In both categories, those with an asterisk after their name are our recommendations.

PROCESS

The Nomcom decided on a set of Selection Criteria after discussion, and advised these on the IGC list. The criteria are mentioned below. The Nomcom then weighted all criteria in importance (giving a weighting between 1 and 10 to each criteria). The weightings are in brackets after the criteria below. Candidates were then scored with a score between 1 and 10 against each criteria.

No weightings applied to gender and geographic balance, as this criteria was applied in arriving at a final slate after weighted average scores for candidates against other criteria were compiled)

Note: the scores against criteria were intended as a guide to selection only, to bypass a lot of discussion in our very tight time frames. They did serve to make clear some candidates who were clearly favoured, some who were not, but did leave a group in the middle whose scores were close and whose credentials for inclusion were then compared further, particularly with intentions to achieve better geographic and gender balance.

1. Regular contributor to civil society networks including the IGC (6)

2. Consultative style with members of civil society networks including the IGC (8)

3. Knowledge of/ previous experience with IGF, including remote participation (6.5)

4. Knowledge of the UN system (5)

5. Able to communicate the diverse range of issues, views and perspectives

held by civil society.(8.5)

6.Able to devote the time, resources and effort necessary to contribute constructively to MAG deliberations (9)

7. Willing and able to report and update civil society networks including the IGC on issues and progress (8)

8. Gender and geographic balance

All Nomcom members provided scores against at least some of the candidates. 4 of 5 Nomcom members provided scores for all candidates, an exceptionally good result given the tight timeframes involved.

Considering these scores, Nomcom members then decided on a final slate reflecting as best possible some natural differences of emphasis to come to a mutual recommendation. Several members expressed some disappointment that the range and communicated attributes of those nominated made achieving balance very difficult. In particular, more women as candidates and more candidates from regions we were not able to represent would have been very welcome. This may partially be a factor of the very limited timeframe under which we operated – however, it would have been even more limited had the co –coordinators not taken the initiative to call for candidates while the Nomcom was being formed.

I must as non voting Chair thank the Nomcom members for their dedicated work, a not inconsiderable effort to decide on criteria and assess candidates in this limited timeframe. They really did good work on your behalf.

Ian Peter

Non voting chair