## ECOSOC Presentations WSIS and IGF

Data	Author : Philippe Blanchard Subject : UN Ecosoc plenary session, reports on the « World summit on information society » and « internet governance forum »
1. Referential documents	<ul> <li>Please refer to the <u>ECOSOC webpages</u> and especially the internet activity related reports:</li> <li>Report of the Secretary-General on progress made in the implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the World Summit on the Information Society at the regional and international levels (<u>A/66/64 - E/2011/77</u>)</li> <li>Report of the Working Group on improvements to the Internet Governance Forum (<u>A/66/67-E/2011/79</u>)</li> </ul>
2. Points of interest	<ul> <li>I will not paraphrase the content of the two reports and I am just taking the liberty to highlight some elements of interest.</li> <li>Strong agreement on some stakes both on the citizen level (privacy,) and the economical level (growth factor, cloud computing) and on some risks (fraudulent use ; espionage). But no mention of key elements such as "freedom of speech", "local vs universal jurisdiction" I fear those elements are definitely more controversial and will be/must be addressed once the e-governance principles have been set.</li> <li>I would personally suggest we work in parallel the meta-level (e-governance) and the fields of application. We are bound to proceed in a co-development scheme rather than a (more historical) sequential</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>process.</li> <li>IGF is definitely the opportunity to address this.</li> <li>The principles of stake-holder participation, multilateral work are clearly understood and (at least) communicated. After the panellists' presentation, we had the opportunity to have some comments from the civil society (ISOC-Internet Society; CCI – International Chambers of Commerce).</li> <li>Nicolas SEIDLER, Policy Advisor for ISOC : for more information on his report. (seidler@isoc.org)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>We were reminded the "sovereignty of States" (not a surprise) and the "necessity to engage in a multistakeholders process". IGF role is unanimously recognized. US representatives praised the "consultative role" and the fact it was "a no-decision body" (to ensure leeway and avoid being struck in some diplomatic vocabulary bargaining).</li> <li>However, I would have liked to have some definition of "internet ecosystem". I am afraid there is still a misunderstanding about the existence of a theoretical frontier between IRL (in real-life) and e-life. Cf some comments, for instance on "internet is a global facility" from a State representative (Venezuela, I think)</li> <li>Some confusion between "e-governance" and "internet governance" also appeared in floor comments, following the reports presentation.</li> <li>Some demands to extend IGF role (CUBA) and a request from the Working group (India, Brazil and RSA- South Africa) to benefit from an "official platform". I am not sure if it was complementary to IGF or not. This platform would support more effectively the developing countries actions and would bring up "processes to enhance collaboration".</li> </ul>

Points of interest (cont'd)	<ul> <li>We were told that Key performance indicators have been agreed upon by the CSTD. I think this is key and would suggest these are shared and monitored by all the stake-holders and followers. (but it is probably my "If you cannot measure it, it is just a hobby" mindset (2) ).</li> <li>I am afraid network neutrality was only mentioned once and I hope I wasn't listening carefully enough.</li> <li>For me this element is definitely key. Yes I understand both the political and economical stakes but it is core.</li> <li>We were also told that IGF Executive Coordinator (Markus Kummer's previous position) should be soon filled. No deadlines announced yet.</li> </ul>
Conclusion	Very interesting and informative session. I understood the meeting room was slightly more packed on the previous days, with more politically sensitive discussions but the attendance was fairly high. And that is definitely a good sign. I would like to take the opportunity to thank twice Mrs Renate BLOEM:
	<ul> <li>✓ she found the way to get me accredited. And I can swear it was no piece of cake. Despite the confirmation she had beforehand, she had to spend 30 mn securing my access. My accreditation was issued at 10:02 for a meeting starting at 10:00.</li> <li>✓ The discussion we had after the session was really great and she brought challenging food for thought.</li> </ul>
	Vielen Danke, Renate, du bist wunderbar.