

## **Inclusiveness of the IGF process and of participation at the IGF meetings (in particular with regard to stakeholders from developing countries)**

- Capacity Building. Focus on institutional capacity (governments, civil society organizations, rather than on individual capacity)
- Outreach strategy. Include in the IGF processes groups that have not yet been included, from civil society, small and medium sized companies, decision-makers and parliamentarians
- Roadmap to identify key-players in each region that need to be included
- Develop ways to understand the real barriers for participation
  
- Funding to developing countries (specially to developing country policy makers?), taking into account clear criteria (for instance, age, gender and whether a particular group works with the marginalize people we want to bring to the IGF process).
- Open opportunity to apply for funding. Transparency and timely decisions regarding the funding
  
- Remote attendance
  - o In all IGF meetings, MAG meetings and open consultations webcast, recording and captioning should be available, as well as options for remote participation
  - o Remote participation should be formally recognized as an integral part of the IGF. Resources to put in place remote participation should be provided
  - o Tools and techniques should be used to enhance remote participation, giving participants the opportunity to effectively influence agenda-setting and IGF debates. Remote participation in IGF process as a whole
  - o The participation of remote speakers should be encouraged

--

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

Reply Forward

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 24

to governance

In message <[AANLkTikOu1n4yBifR7r-UOB2WL+4GOa\\_eb4jmCVb6QjG@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTikOu1n4yBifR7r-UOB2WL+4GOa_eb4jmCVb6QjG@mail.gmail.com)>, at 00:39:33 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> writes

>- Outreach strategy. Include in the IGF processes groups that >have not yet been included, from civil society, small and medium sized >companies, decision-makers and parliamentarians

A common feature of all these is that they would normally take advantage of the economy of scale of working through some other body such as a trade association or civil society caucus. And what's that body for Parliamentarians, I hear people asking - well that's their Government minister and his officials.

--

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Baudouin SCHOMBE** show details Jan 24

to governance, me

Hello,

Overall, these are interesting proposals. To this, to make an impact in the African context, it would be desirable to involve the African Union, ECA and the regional and subregional organizations to positively influence policy makers (government, parliament .....)

Baudouin

2011/1/24 Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>

- Show quoted text -

**Inclusiveness of the IGF process and of participation at the IGF meetings  
(in particular with regard to stakeholders from developing countries)**

- Capacity Building. Focus on institutional capacity (governments, civil society organizations, rather than on individual capacity)
- Outreach strategy. Include in the IGF processes groups that have not yet been included, from civil society, small and medium sized companies, decision-makers and parliamentarians
- Roadmap to identify key-players in each region that need to be included
- Develop ways to understand the real barriers for participation
  
- Funding to developing countries (specially to developing country policy makers?), taking into account clear criteria (for instance, age, gender and whether a particular group works with the marginalize people we want to bring to the IGF process).
- Open opportunity to apply for funding. Transparency and timely decisions regarding the funding
  
- Remote attendance
  - o In all IGF meetings, MAG meetings and open consultations webcast, recording and captioning should be available, as well as options for remote participation
  - o Remote participation should be formally recognized as an integral part of the IGF. Resources to put in place remote participation should be provided

- Tools and techniques should be used to enhance remote participation, giving participants the opportunity to effectively influence agenda-setting and IGF debates. Remote participation in IGF process as a whole
- The participation of remote speakers should be encouraged

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward BAUDOUIN is not available to chat

Reply

|

**Charity Gamboa** show details Jan 24

to governance, me

Hi all,

Regarding this particular point on - Capacity Building. Focus on institutional capacity (governments, civil society organizations, rather than on individual capacity):

Generally-speaking, this would be a good strategy since IMHO institutional capacity building aims toward a long term level. If governments can plan effectively, they can provide mechanisms for long-term partnerships or networking within their regions. For instance, I am aware that in the Philippines, CICT (Commission on Information and Communications Technology) have "collaborated" with ASTI (Advances Science and

Technology Institute of the Phil. Department of Science and Technology), ISOC PH, and PREGINET (National Research Education Network of the Philippines) *Pilipinas* (is the Filipino word for "Philippines"). During last year's IGF 2010, there was a regional IGF talk simultaneously during the IGF that PREGINET streamed. There were supporting elements during the IGF so even in the next events all related to IG issues, there is already a mechanism of a long term networking.

Regards,  
Charity

- Show quoted text -

On Sun, Jan 23, 2011 at 8:39 PM, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> wrote:

- Show quoted text -

**Inclusiveness of the IGF process and of participation at the IGF meetings  
(in particular with regard to stakeholders from developing countries)**

- Capacity Building. Focus on institutional capacity (governments, civil society organizations, rather than on individual capacity)
- Outreach strategy. Include in the IGF processes groups that have not yet been included, from civil society, small and medium sized companies, decision-makers and parliamentarians
- Roadmap to identify key-players in each region that need to be included
- Develop ways to understand the real barriers for participation
  
- Funding to developing countries (specially to developing country policy makers?), taking into account clear criteria (for instance, age, gender and whether a particular group works with the marginalize people we want to bring to the IGF process).
- Open opportunity to apply for funding. Transparency and timely decisions regarding the funding
  
- Remote attendance

- In all IGF meetings, MAG meetings and open consultations webcast, recording and captioning should be available, as well as options for remote participation
- Remote participation should be formally recognized as an integral part of the IGF. Resources to put in place remote participation should be provided
- Tools and techniques should be used to enhance remote participation, giving participants the opportunity to effectively influence agenda-setting and IGF debates. Remote participation in IGF process as a whole
- The participation of remote speakers should be encouraged

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Salanieta T. Tamanikaiwaimaro** show details Jan 24

to governance, Charity, me

Dear All,

This link has a list of organisations within the Pacific that deal with ICT:

<http://www.forumsec.org.fj/pages.cfm/economic-governance/ict-2/>

and having said that the list is not an exhaustive list but they contribute in one way or another to the ICT strategy within the Pacific. It does not include Civil Society except for one.

Kind Regards,

Sala

- Show quoted text -

On Tue, Jan 25, 2011 at 10:19 AM, Charity Gamboa <[charityg@diplomacy.edu](mailto:charityg@diplomacy.edu)> wrote:  
Hi all,

Regarding this particular point on - Capacity Building. Focus on institutional capacity (governments, civil society organizations, rather than on individual capacity):

Generally-speaking, this would be a good strategy since IMHO institutional capacity building aims toward a long term level. If governments can plan effectively, they can provide mechanisms for long-term partnerships or networking within their regions. For instance, I am aware that in the Philippines, CICT (Commission on Information and Communications Technology) have "collaborated" with ASTI (Advances Science and Technology Institute of the Phil.Department of Science and Technology), ISOC PH, and PREGINET (National Research Education Network of the Philippines) *Pilipinas (is the Filipino word for "Philippines")*. During last year's IGF 2010, there was a regional IGF talk simultaneously during the IGF that PREGINET streamed. There were supporting elements during the IGF so even in the next events all related to IG issues, there is already a mechanism of a long term networking.

Regards,  
Charity

On Sun, Jan 23, 2011 at 8:39 PM, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> wrote:

**Inclusiveness of the IGF process and of participation at the IGF meetings  
(in particular with regard to stakeholders from developing countries)**

- Capacity Building. Focus on institutional capacity (governments, civil society organizations, rather than on individual capacity)

- Outreach strategy. Include in the IGF processes groups that have not yet been included, from civil society, small and medium sized companies, decision-makers and parliamentarians
- Roadmap to identify key-players in each region that need to be included
- Develop ways to understand the real barriers for participation
  
- Funding to developing countries (specially to developing country policy makers?), taking into account clear criteria (for instance, age, gender and whether a particular group works with the marginalize people we want to bring to the IGF process).
- Open opportunity to apply for funding. Transparency and timely decisions regarding the funding
  
- Remote attendance
  - o In all IGF meetings, MAG meetings and open consultations webcast, recording and captioning should be available, as well as options for remote participation
  - o Remote participation should be formally recognized as an integral part of the IGF. Resources to put in place remote participation should be provided
  - o Tools and techniques should be used to enhance remote participation, giving participants the opportunity to effectively influence agenda-setting and IGF debates. Remote participation in IGF process as a whole
  - o The participation of remote speakers should be encouraged

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:



<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward Invite Salanieta T. Tamanikaiwaimaro to chat

Reply

|

**Michael Gurstein** show details Jan 24

to governance

I think having ideas, information, opinion, analyses, definitions of issues flowing from the centre out to the regional, country, local levels will be relatively easy. The challenge and where the attention should be put IMHO is on how to ensure that there is a useful, content rich, informed flow the other way. And that this flow has a meaningful impact, that is from the local, regional, country levels to the central "IG"/"IGF" focal points.

The regional event I had an opportunity to attend (n Hong Kong) was extremely rich in content and so on (although perhaps being a bit limited in geographical coverage). There was a lot of very useful activity in including in identifying and defining issues of relevance from an IG perspective but I gather that the results from this were not as completely effective as they might have been in flowing from that event into the IGF itself.

M

## CSTD Q2-Format of IGF meetings



Reply

**Marilia Maciel** show details Jan 24

to governance

Obs: question 1 is a general "setting the scene"

Summary of the contributions during the consultations:

- Continue and reinforce the openness of the meeting, for instance:
  - o No accreditation
  - o Multistakeholder participation
  - o Participation on equal footing
  - o Bottom-up organization (dynamic coalitions and workshops)
  
- Develop a template for the proposal of workshops. It would make evaluation of the proposals easier and would allow limiting by default the number of speakers.
  
- Stricter evaluation of the workshop proposals. Reduction of the number of panellists
  
- Participants should be able to give feedback and evaluate the workshops they attended online
  
- Two first days of the IGF dedicated to workshops and the two last days dedicated to main sessions, best practices fora, roundtables
  
- Wrap-up workshops that would summarize discussions carried out in several workshops and forward an input to the main session
  
- The IGF should focus on public policy issues and controversial issues, rather than technical details and innovations

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

Reply Forward

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 24

to governance

In message <AANLkTin8fTsbhnG=4dEFilThuN0z-cZouzVrDabP-  
[jt4@mail.gmail.com](mailto:jt4@mail.gmail.com)>, at 00:26:43 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel  
<[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> writes

- Two first days of the IGF dedicated to workshops and the two last days  
dedicated to main sessions, best practices fora, roundtable

This seems to be a major structural change. Does the "UN Framework" within which  
the meetings take place (and Markus has explained several times why the main sessions  
are the length they are etc) allow for two days without any main sessions? Although  
presumably there \*has\* to be an Opening/Welcome Ceremony on the first day,  
whatever else is decided.

--

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Jeanette Hofmann** show details Jan 24

to governance, Roland

We could consider reducing the number of main sessions altogether. I am not sure it would be a good idea to squeeze them into two days.

jeanette

On 24.01.2011 09:13, Roland Perry wrote:

In message

<AANLkTin8fTsbhnG=4dEFi1ThuN0z-cZouzVrDabP-jt4@mail.gmail.com>, at 00:26:43 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <mariliamaciel@gmail.com> writes

- Two first days of the IGF dedicated to workshops and the two last days dedicated to main sessions, best practices fora, roundtable

This seems to be a major structural change. Does the "UN Framework" within which the meetings take place (and Markus has explained several times why the main sessions are the length they are etc) allow for two days without any main sessions? Although presumably there \*has\* to be an Opening/Welcome Ceremony on the first day, whatever else is decided.

- Show quoted text -

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Fouad Bajwa**

show details Jan 25

to governance, Jeanette, Roland

The two day proposal might not be workable but the idea to reduce the duration of the main sessions in a given day could be a very useful proposal because the participation in the main sessions also falls because of interest in the workshops.

-- Foo  
- Show quoted text -

On Tue, Jan 25, 2011 at 2:47 AM, Jeanette Hofmann <[jeanette@wzb.eu](mailto:jeanette@wzb.eu)> wrote:

> We could consider reducing the number of main sessions altogether. I am not  
> sure it would be a good idea to squeeze them into two days.

>  
> jeanette

>  
> On 24.01.2011 09:13, Roland Perry wrote:

>>  
>> In message  
>> <[AANLkTin8fTsbhnG=4dEFi1ThuN0z-cZouzVrDabP-jt4@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTin8fTsbhnG=4dEFi1ThuN0z-cZouzVrDabP-jt4@mail.gmail.com)>, at  
>> 00:26:43 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>  
>> writes

>>>  
>>> - Two first days of the IGF dedicated to workshops and the  
>>> two last days dedicated to main sessions, best practices fora, roundtable  
>>

>> This seems to be a major structural change. Does the "UN Framework"  
>> within which the meetings take place (and Markus has explained several  
>> times why the main sessions are the length they are etc) allow for two  
>> days without any main sessions? Although presumably there \*has\* to be an  
>> Opening/Welcome Ceremony on the first day, whatever else is decided.

>  
>  

---

> You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

> [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

> To be removed from the list, visit:

> <http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

>  
> For all other list information and functions, see:

> <http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

> To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

> <http://www.igcaucus.org/>

>  
> Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

>

>

--  
Regards.

-----  
Fouad Bajwa

- Show quoted text -

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward Fouad is not available to chat

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 25

to governance

In message <AANLkTim\_LwH\_X5BH1XiYc+iP39HGP-yE2K=[toH5AJxB8@mail.gmail.com](mailto:toH5AJxB8@mail.gmail.com)>, at 11:30:11 on Tue, 25 Jan 2011, Fouad Bajwa <[fouadbajwa@gmail.com](mailto:fouadbajwa@gmail.com)> writes

On 24.01.2011 09:13, Roland Perry wrote:

Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> writes

- Two first days of the IGF dedicated to workshops and the two last days dedicated to main sessions, best practices fora, roundtable

This seems to be a major structural change. Does the "UN Framework" within which the meetings take place (and Markus has explained several times why the main sessions are the length they are etc) allow for two days without any main sessions? Although presumably there \*has\* to be an Opening/Welcome Ceremony on the first day, whatever else is decided.

On Tue, Jan 25, 2011 at 2:47 AM, Jeanette Hofmann <[jeanette@wzb.eu](mailto:jeanette@wzb.eu)> wrote:  
We could consider reducing the number of main sessions altogether. I am not sure it would be a good idea to squeeze them into two days.

jeanette

The two day proposal might not be workable but the idea to reduce the duration of the main sessions in a given day could be a very useful

proposal because the participation in the main sessions also falls because of interest in the workshops.

-- Foo

With respect, while I agree that a different structure might be better, I was asking if the protocol permits the running of a "UN meeting" without a "main session" in each [very strictly 3-hour] time slot.

In other words, while the rest of us can have workshops to any timetable we like, the UN-organised aspect of the IGF has to be two 3hr Main sessions a day.

But it's interesting how the focus of the IGF has changed from "main sessions with a few associated workshops", to a "workshop-driven conference with a few associated main sessions"; and if we can keep the total number of workshops under control, formalising this might be a useful exercise.

--

Roland Perry

### **Working methods of the IGF, in particular improving the preparation process modalities**

- Improvement of the website
- Seek the inputs of national and regional IGFs regarding the issues to be discussed in open consultations, especially the agenda. MAG could do it?
- Foster periodical meetings with the participation of the organizers of national and regional IGFs
- Set aside a budget for inviting speakers to main sessions. Invitations to speak based on expertise, not on who's already attending IGF
- Identify key global policy areas that require attention early in the year. Create working groups around these areas. Share background material and discuss them in sessions throughout the year (thematic meetings). Discuss them in an in-depth way at the IGF.
- Organizations that are part of the IG ecosystem could be invited to share a one-page document regarding their suggestions on specific thematic issues. This will improve the inputs that go into the IGF and this is important if the IGF is expected to serve as a clearinghouse
- At least one of the open consultations should take place as an online meeting.

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

Reply Forward

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 24

to governance

In message <AANLkTi=[UZdKTKYzWBQ5Cv6whM\\_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com](mailto:UZdKTKYzWBQ5Cv6whM_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com)>, at 00:31:33 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> writes

- At least one of the open consultations should take place as an online meeting

I think you can add to the series of Open Consultations [planning sessions] with an additional online meeting, or enhance the remote participation at the current series of meetings. I don't think you could replace one of the physical meetings - for all the planning to get done on time, you need the current number.

--

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

show details Jan 24



## Lee W McKnight

to governance, Roland

Once any group has gotten acquainted/comfortable with each other, online meetings work fine as a substitute.

Having one planning meeting entirely online seems quite feasible imho and is of course more eco-friendly.

---

From: [governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org) [[governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org)] On Behalf Of Roland Perry [[roland@internetpolicyagency.com](mailto:roland@internetpolicyagency.com)]  
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 3:16 AM  
To: [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)  
Subject: Re: [governance] CSTD Q4-Working methods and preparation  
- Show quoted text -

In message

<AANLkTi=[UZdKTKyZWBQ5Cv6whM\\_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com](mailto:UZdKTKyZWBQ5Cv6whM_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com)>,

at

00:31:33 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>

writes

>- At least one of the open consultations should take place as  
>an online meeting

I think you can add to the series of Open Consultations [planning sessions] with an additional online meeting, or enhance the remote participation at the current series of meetings. I don't think you could replace one of the physical meetings - for all the planning to get done on time, you need the current number.

--

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Marilia Maciel**

show details Jan 25

to governance, Lee, Roland

I believe we should, as much as possible, replace physical planning meetings by online meetings.

Planning meetings are very important, as they decisively shape the agenda on the next IGF. Several documents that evaluate the IGF (like the note by the Secretary-general) mention that the agenda of the meeting needs to be more social and development oriented. It is easy to understand why developmental issues are not so mainstreamed, if you take a look at the participants of open consultations. There is a great majority of people from developed countries, who put forward their own legitimate concerns that may not coincide with the issues faced in developing countries. The fact that all the meetings take place in Geneva and that developing country representatives have to deal with scarce resources are also obstacles.

One example: last IGF, only 5% of the people who physically attended were from South America. But 25% of the remote participants were from the same region, showing that lack of resources is more significant than lack of interest when it comes to participation.

Of course remote participation should continue to be improved, both in IGF and in prep meetings. We should improve the dynamics to allow remote participants to have more impact on discussions and equal chance to intervene and make their voices heard. While this is not the case, I believe that meetings that take place online are not only more eco-friendly, they are also more efficient and they foster equality among participants. The distance between the nodes is meaningless online.

Other suggestions can be put forward to foster online coordination throughout the year. For instance, the website could encompass a section, in which the several stakeholders could talk among themselves. There is no real space of dialogue among stakeholders. If such a space existed, we could, let's say, be discussing possible framings of NN for the IGF with the private sector. Now we have to wait and play our cards in the Open Consultation, with few people serving as "gatekeepers" of the dialogue.

Marilia

- Show quoted text -

On Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 11:43 AM, Lee W McKnight <[lmcknigh@syr.edu](mailto:lmcknigh@syr.edu)> wrote:  
Once any group has gotten acquainted/comfortable with each other, online meetings work fine as a substitute.

Having one planning meeting entirely online seems quite feasible imho and is of course more eco-friendly.

---

From: [governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org) [[governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org)] On Behalf Of Roland Perry [[roland@internetpolicyagency.com](mailto:roland@internetpolicyagency.com)]  
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 3:16 AM  
To: [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)  
Subject: Re: [governance] CSTD Q4-Working methods and preparation

In message  
<[AANLkTi=UZdKTKyZWBQ5Cv6whM\\_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTi=UZdKTKyZWBQ5Cv6whM_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com)>,  
at  
00:31:33 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>  
writes  
>- At least one of the open consultations should take place as  
>an online meeting

I think you can add to the series of Open Consultations [planning sessions] with an additional online meeting, or enhance the remote participation at the current series of meetings. I don't think you could replace one of the physical meetings - for all the planning to get done on time, you need the current number.

--

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

- Show quoted text -

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society

Getulio Vargas Foundation

Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 25

to governance

In message <AANLkTikwsMoVgJCY=[LBJBgzKWdgokk6f-A2V9RWf+\\_+A@mail.gmail.com](mailto:LBJBgzKWdgokk6f-A2V9RWf+_+A@mail.gmail.com)>, at 01:03:55 on Tue, 25 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> writes

I believe we should, as much as possible, replace physical planning meetings by online meetings.

While I share your frustrations about the cost of travel, online planning doesn't scale very well. My estimate (from having been involved in online debate in one form or another for over 20 years) is that it takes approximately 10 times as long to participate remotely, as it does to attend physical meetings. That's just from the attention required to multiple postings on diverse topics from a wider community (where in this case

"wider" brings with it "much more conversation to be listened to, and responded to").

Frankly, I spend all day online (or "working from home" as it's called), but I don't think many other people have that much time, especially when Internet Governance isn't their job.

- Show quoted text -

Planning meetings are very important, as they decisively shape the agenda on the next IGF. Several documents that evaluate the IGF (like the note by the Secretary-general) mention that the agenda of the meeting needs to be more social and development oriented. It is easy to understand why developmental issues are not so mainstreamed, if you take a look at the participants of open consultations. There is a great majority of people from developed countries, who put forward their own legitimate concerns that may not coincide with the issues faced in developing countries. The fact that all the meetings take place in Geneva and that developing country representatives have to deal with scarce resources are also obstacles.

One example: last IGF, only 5% of the people who physically attended were from South America. But 25% of the remote participants were from the same region, showing that lack of resources is more significant than lack of interest when it comes to participation.

Of course remote participation should continue to be improved, both in IGF and in prep meetings. We should improve the dynamics to allow remote participants to have more impact on discussions and equal chance to intervene and make their voices heard. While this is not the case, I believe that meetings that take place online are not only more eco-friendly, they are also more efficient and they foster equality among participants. The distance between the nodes is meaningless online.

Other suggestions can be put forward to foster online coordination throughout the year. For instance, the website could encompass a section, in which the several stakeholders could talk among themselves. There is no real space of dialogue among stakeholders. If such a space existed, we could, let's say, be discussing possible framings of NN for the IGF with the private sector. Now we have to wait and play our cards in the Open Consultation, with few people serving as "gatekeepers" of the dialogue.

Marilia

On Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 11:43 AM, Lee W McKnight <[lmcknigh@syr.edu](mailto:lmcknigh@syr.edu)> wrote:

Once any group has gotten acquainted/comfortable with each other, online meetings work fine as a substitute.

Having one planning meeting entirely online seems quite feasible imho and is of course more eco-friendly.

---

From: [governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org) [[governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org)] On Behalf Of Roland Perry [[roland@internetpolicyagency.com](mailto:roland@internetpolicyagency.com)]  
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 3:16 AM  
To: [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)  
Subject: Re: [governance] CSTD Q4-Working methods and preparation

In message  
<AANLkTi=[UZdKtKyZWBQ5Cv6whM\\_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com](mailto:UZdKtKyZWBQ5Cv6whM_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com)>, at  
00:31:33 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>

writes

>- At least one of the open consultations should take place as  
>an online meeting

I think you can add to the series of Open Consultations [planning sessions] with an additional online meeting, or enhance the remote participation at the current series of meetings. I don't think you could

replace one of the physical meetings - for all the planning to get done

on time, you need the current number.

--

Roland Perry

--

- Show quoted text -

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward  
Reply  
|

**Lee W McKnight** show details Jan 25

to governance, Roland  
Roland,

I've also been involved in online planning for...ever : (

It all depends on how structured as Marila suggests.

Scalability can be managed with various threshold participant numbers requiring different tools and methods.

And let's not kid ourselves, the 'opportunity' to spend hours and hours engaged in planning an IGF...well I don't think we need worry about rock star kind of numbers trying to 'follow.'

Lee

---

From: [governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org) [[governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org)] On Behalf Of Roland Perry [[roland@internetpolicyagency.com](mailto:roland@internetpolicyagency.com)]  
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2011 4:19 AM  
- Show quoted text -  
To: [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)  
Subject: Re: [governance] CSTD Q4-Working methods and preparation

In message  
<AANLkTikwsMoVgJCY=[LBJBgzKWdgokk6f-A2V9RWf+\\_+A@mail.gmail.com](mailto:LBJBgzKWdgokk6f-A2V9RWf+_+A@mail.gmail.com)>,  
at  
01:03:55 on Tue, 25 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>  
writes  
>I believe we should, as much as possible, replace physical planning  
>meetings by online meetings.

While I share your frustrations about the cost of travel, online planning doesn't scale very well. My estimate (from having been involved in online debate in one form or another for over 20 years) is that it takes approximately 10 times as long to participate remotely, as it does to attend physical meetings. That's just from the attention required to multiple postings on diverse topics from a wider community (where in this case "wider" brings with it "much more conversation to be listened to, and responded to").

Frankly, I spend all day online (or "working from home" as it's called), but I don't think many other people have that much time, especially when Internet Governance isn't their job.

>Planning meetings are very important, as they decisively shape the  
>agenda on the next IGF. Several documents that evaluate the IGF (like  
>the note by the Secretary-general) mention that the agenda of the  
>meeting needs to be more social and development oriented. It is easy to  
>understand why developmental issues are not so mainstreamed, if you  
>take a look at the participants of open consultations. There is a great  
>majority of people from developed countries, who put forward their own  
>legitimate concerns that may not coincide with the issues faced in  
>developing countries. The fact that all the meetings take place in  
>Geneva and that developing country representatives have to deal with  
>scarce resources are also obstacles.

>

>

>One example: last IGF, only 5% of the people who physically attended  
>were from South America. But 25% of the remote participants were from  
>the same region, showing that lack of resources is more significant  
>than lack of interest when it comes to participation.

>

>

>Of course remote participation should continue to be improved, both in  
>IGF and in prep meetings. We should improve the dynamics to allow  
>remote participants to have more impact on discussions and equal chance  
>to intervene and make their voices heard. While this is not the case, I  
>believe that meetings that take place online are not only more  
>eco-friendly, they are also more efficient and they foster equality  
>among participants. The distance between the nodes is meaningless  
>online.

>

>

>Other suggestions can be put forward to foster online coordination  
>throughout the year. For instance, the website could encompass a  
>section, in which the several stakeholders could talk among themselves.  
>There is no real space of dialogue among stakeholders. If such a space  
>existed, we could, let's say, be discussing possible framings of NN for  
>the IGF with the private sector. Now we have to wait and play our cards  
>in the Open Consultation, with few people serving as "gatekeepers" of  
>the dialogue.

>

>

>Marília

>

>

>

>On Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 11:43 AM, Lee W McKnight <[lmcknigh@syr.edu](mailto:lmcknigh@syr.edu)>  
>wrote:



> Once any group has gotten acquainted/comfortable with each other,  
> online meetings work fine as a substitute.  
>  
> Having one planning meeting entirely online seems quite feasible  
> imho and is of course more eco-friendly.  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
> From: [governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org) [  
> [governance-request@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance-request@lists.cpsr.org)] On Behalf Of Roland Perry [  
> [roland@internetpolicyagency.com](mailto:roland@internetpolicyagency.com)]  
> Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 3:16 AM  
> To: [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)  
> Subject: Re: [governance] CSTD Q4-Working methods and preparation  
>  
> In message  
> <[AAANLkTi=UZdKTKyZWBQ5Cv6whM\\_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AAANLkTi=UZdKTKyZWBQ5Cv6whM_78S-cwFTvNA41Uqbw7@mail.gmail.com)>, at  
> 00:31:33 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <  
> [mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>  
> writes  
> >- At least one of the open consultations should take place as  
> >an online meeting  
>  
> I think you can add to the series of Open Consultations [planning  
> sessions] with an additional online meeting, or enhance the remote  
> participation at the current series of meetings. I don't think you  
> could  
> replace one of the physical meetings - for all the planning to get  
> done  
> on time, you need the current number.  
> --  
> Roland Perry

--

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 25

to governance

In message <[93F4C2F3D19A03439EAC16D47C591DDE03361090F5@suex07-mbx-08.ad.syr.edu](mailto:93F4C2F3D19A03439EAC16D47C591DDE03361090F5@suex07-mbx-08.ad.syr.edu)>, at 10:31:56 on Tue, 25 Jan 2011, Lee W McKnight <[lmcknigh@syr.edu](mailto:lmcknigh@syr.edu)> writes

It all depends on how structured as Marila suggests.

Scalability can be managed with various threshold participant numbers requiring different tools and methods.

The usual way it's done is by local meetings and subcommittees, then appointing representatives to go to a physical meeting to nail down all the final details. If the IGF manages to find a way to scale these processes into an online environment (for the topic of Internet Governance), the whole world can then use the same tools for discussing other completely unrelated topics. That would be a very empowering result.

--

- Show quoted text -

Roland Perry

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward  
Reply  
|

**Lee W McKnight** show details Jan 25

to governance, Roland  
Different communities have different 'usual' ways.

But yeah cool new tools and old standbys like lists and conference calls can help 'layers' however defined, ie by geography or interest, or other, interact efficiently.

### **Financing the Forum (exploring further voluntary options for financing)**

Summary (sorry if I missed something):

- Public funds
- Voluntary contributions allowed, but on a transparent manner

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

Reply Forward  
Reply  
|

**Marilia Maciel** show details Jan 24

to governance

Does anyone know if this list of donors is updated?

<http://intgovforum.org/cms/funding>

Is there any more relevant source about it?

I have never actually participated on reflections regarding the funding of the IGF, I am trying to understand what are the issues and the scope of changes that can be introduced to improve the current voluntary trust fund. Any comments are very welcome

- Show quoted text -

On Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 12:33 AM, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> wrote:

**Financing the Forum (exploring further voluntary options for financing)**

Summary (sorry if I missed something):

- Public funds
- Voluntary contributions allowed, but on a transparent manner

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

--

Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

Reply Forward

Reply

|

**Charity Gamboa** show details Jan 24

to governance, me

Hi Marilia, here are my thoughts on the funding issue:

[1] Does IGF follow a certain funding model? I see a lot of government donors from the list so I am assuming only that the funding is for policy innovation. If funding is relied on governments there is a strong determination to prove that whatever program there is

should really work (impact and cost). So I take it that there is a need to define and implement a funding model.

[2] What is the funding needed for? To be more specific on what it is needed for might narrow down a list of organizations to approach. Most of these organizations have probably laid out their plans for philanthropy so we're looking at a few research work needed to check out these organizations.

The institution I work for here in Texas gets most of our funding from federal grants and private donors. We get a lump of our state funding from a title grant that hands out the funds to priority institutions - think of it as an "improvement" grant that includes professional development and partnerships in learning communities. Bottom line is, we have grant writers who write, research and report because most grants are competitive. Here in Texas, educational institutions use a Texas-design transformation or turnaround model for implementation.

Anyhow, these are just my thoughts on the matter. I'm not sure how the UN does it, but it would be helpful if clarified. Thanks.

Regards,  
Charity Gamboa-Embley  
- Show quoted text -

On Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 12:38 PM, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> wrote:

- Show quoted text -

Does anyone know if this list of donors is updated?

<http://intgovforum.org/cms/funding>

Is there any more relevant source about it?

I have never actually participated on reflections regarding the funding of the IGF, I am trying to understand what are the issues and the scope of changes that can be introduced to improve the current voluntary trust fund. Any comments are very welcome

On Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 12:33 AM, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> wrote:

### **Financing the Forum (exploring further voluntary options for financing)**

Summary (sorry if I missed something):

- Public funds
- Voluntary contributions allowed, but on a transparent manner

--  
Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

--  
Centro de Tecnologia e Sociedade  
FGV Direito Rio

Center for Technology and Society  
Getulio Vargas Foundation  
Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**Roland Perry** show details Jan 25

to governance

In message

<[AANLkTikonEz\\_pOdH0ZbyDXe\\_eHQb5JpWfBawS3BoJbWz@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AANLkTikonEz_pOdH0ZbyDXe_eHQb5JpWfBawS3BoJbWz@mail.gmail.com)>, at  
16:38:10 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)> writes

Does anyone know if this list of donors is updated?

<http://intgovforum.org/cms/funding>

Is there any more relevant source about it

I believe they have an approximately annual "sponsors meeting", but there must surely be someone on this list who knows the process from the 'inside'.

--

Roland Perry

- Show quoted text -

---

You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

[governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

To be removed from the list, visit:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

For all other list information and functions, see:

<http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

<http://www.igcaucus.org/>

Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

Reply Reply to all Forward

Reply

|

**McTim**

show details Jan 25

to governance, Roland

i would very much like to see who donates what to the igf, just out of curiosity, but some transparency would be nice as well. Rgds, mctim

- Show quoted text -

On 1/25/11, Roland Perry <[roland@internetpolicyagency.com](mailto:roland@internetpolicyagency.com)> wrote:

> In message

> <[AAANLkTikonEz\\_pOdH0ZbyDXe\\_eHQb5JpWfBawS3BoJbWz@mail.gmail.com](mailto:AAANLkTikonEz_pOdH0ZbyDXe_eHQb5JpWfBawS3BoJbWz@mail.gmail.com)>,

at

> 16:38:10 on Mon, 24 Jan 2011, Marilia Maciel <[mariliamaciel@gmail.com](mailto:mariliamaciel@gmail.com)>

> writes

>>Does anyone know if this list of donors is updated?

>><http://intgovforum.org/cms/funding>

>>Is there any more relevant source about it

>

> I believe they have an approximately annual "sponsors meeting", but

> there must surely be someone on this list who knows the process from the

> 'inside'.

> --

> Roland Perry

>

> You received this message as a subscriber on the list:

> [governance@lists.cpsr.org](mailto:governance@lists.cpsr.org)

> To be removed from the list, visit:

> <http://www.igcaucus.org/unsubscribing>

>

> For all other list information and functions, see:

> <http://lists.cpsr.org/lists/info/governance>

> To edit your profile and to find the IGC's charter, see:

> <http://www.igcaucus.org/>

>

> Translate this email: [http://translate.google.com/translate\\_t](http://translate.google.com/translate_t)

>

>

--

Sent from my mobile device

Cheers,

McTim