Dear members of Congress,

We write to oppose the proposed changes to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. As technology companies and public interest groups committed to a secure and privacy-protective Internet, we understand that the changes would threaten the civil liberties of everyday Internet users. Absent legislation, the changes will go into effect automatically on December 1. We therefore urge you to reject the proposed updates and to support the Stopping Mass Hacking Act (S. 2952, H.R. 5321), bipartisan legislation that would block the changes.

The changes to Rule 41 give federal magistrate judges across the United States new authority to issue warrants for hacking and surveillance in cases where a computer’s location is unknown. It would invite law enforcement to seek warrant authorizing them to hack thousands of computers at once—in direct violation of the Fourth Amendment. It would also take the unprecedented step of allowing a court to issue a warrant to hack into the computers of innocent Americans that are part of a botnet. This proposal is dangerously broad. It fails to provide appropriate guidelines for safeguarding privacy and security, and it circumvents the legislative process that would provide Congress and the public the critically necessary opportunity to evaluate these issues.

Security experts have decried the changes to Rule 41, stating that increased government hacking will likely have unintended consequences that cause serious damage to computer security and negatively impact innocent users.

Indeed, Congress has never authorized government hacking as an investigative tool in this manner and has not established clear rules for when and how such dangerous techniques should be used. In order to conduct searches and seizures under the rule change, government agents will exploit security vulnerabilities that impact millions of computer users. Whenever the U.S. government uses such vulnerabilities instead of working to see them swiftly fixed, other governments and malicious hackers will be able to exploit it as well.

Furthermore, when using hacking techniques, government agents will have access to huge amounts of sensitive information. The rule does not impose any additional protections to address the heightened impact that government hacking will have on Americans’ privacy.

The rule attempts to sidestep the legislative process by using a process designed for procedural rules to expand investigatory powers. Congress and the public need adequate time to have an informed debate about government hacking—and an opportunity to consider what safeguards must be instituted—before the usage of these dangerous investigative tools becomes widespread.

The rule change exacerbates existing problems; it does not fix them. The amendments would encourage forum shopping for warrants, allowing the government to repeatedly use the most prosecutor-friendly jurisdictions in the country to authorize the hacking of users around the world.

Moreover, the changes to Rule 41 will disproportionately undermine the privacy of those who have done the most to protect it. Specifically, the proposal would allow warrants for remote hacking in cases where privacy protective technologies obscure the location of a computer. There are countless reasons people may want to use technology to shield their privacy. From journalists communicating with sources to victims of domestic violence seeking information on legal services, people worldwide depend on privacy tools for privacy, personal safety, and data security. Many businesses even require their employees to use Virtual Private Networks for security, especially during travel. Such tools should be actively promoted as a way to safeguard privacy, not discouraged.

The Stopping Mass Hacking Act offers a simple solution: it rejects the changes to Rule 41. Passing this bill by December 1 will ensure that Congress has time to fully consider the issue of government hacking before this practice becomes widespread. We urge you to support this bill, and to reject the changes to Rule 41.

Sincerely,